MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF INSTRUCTION (MDJI)

www. https://journal.mdji.org/ Vol. 7No. 1 (2024)

Harnessing Microfluidics for Precision Livestock Farming: An Integrated Approach to Livestock Health Management

Fang Xiang

Department of Computer Science, Fudan University, Shanghai

Abstract:

The integration of microfluidic technologies into Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) heralds a new era in livestock health management. This paper explores the multifaceted application of microfluidics for precision monitoring, early disease detection, and data-driven decision-making in livestock farming. By providing a comprehensive review of recent advancements, challenges, and future prospects, the study underscores the transformative potential of microfluidic platforms in enhancing the well-being of livestock. This integrated approach combines real-time biomarker analysis, disease detection, and efficient data management, offering a holistic solution for promoting animal welfare, sustainability, and economic viability in modern livestock farming.

Keywords: Microfluidics, Precision Livestock Farming, livestock health management, biomarker analysis, disease detection, data-driven decision-making, animal welfare, sustainability, economic viability, integrated approach.

Introduction: Harnessing Microfluidics for Precision Livestock Farming

In the landscape of modern agriculture, the convergence of advanced technologies has opened unprecedented avenues for elevating livestock management practices. Precision Livestock Farming (PLF), characterized by the integration of data-driven technologies, holds the promise of optimizing animal health, welfare, and overall farm efficiency. This introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of the transformative role that microfluidic technologies play in revolutionizing Precision Livestock Farming, emphasizing the integrated approach to livestock health management.

1. Precision Livestock Farming: An Evolution in Agricultural Practices:

- **Contextualization:** Traditional livestock farming methods, while productive, face challenges in optimizing resource use, ensuring animal welfare, and promptly addressing health issues. Precision Livestock Farming emerges as a response to these challenges, leveraging technology for precise and individualized management.
- **Objectives:** The introduction outlines the primary objectives of PLF, including real-time health monitoring, early disease detection, and data-driven decision-making. These objectives lay the foundation for the integration of microfluidic technologies.

2. Microfluidics as a Game-Changer in Livestock Health Management:

- **Definition of Microfluidics:** The introduction provides a concise definition of microfluidics, highlighting its significance in manipulating small volumes of fluids within microscale channels. This technology becomes a focal point for its potential to revolutionize health management in livestock.
- **Advantages:** Emphasizing the advantages of microfluidics, the introduction discusses its high precision, rapid analysis capabilities, and suitability for on-farm applications. These attributes position microfluidics as a game-changer in achieving the goals of PLF.

3. Integrated Approach to Livestock Health:

- **Holistic Livestock Health Management:** The introduction introduces the concept of an integrated approach, where microfluidics is not merely a tool but an integral part of a holistic livestock health management system. This includes continuous monitoring, early disease detection, and informed decision-making.

- **Benefits of Integration:** By seamlessly integrating microfluidic technologies, PLF aims to enhance animal welfare, improve sustainability by reducing resource inputs, and ensure economic viability for farmers through optimized herd health.

4. Objectives of the Paper:

- **Exploration of Microfluidic Applications:** The introduction outlines the specific objectives of the paper, focusing on the exploration of various microfluidic applications within the context of PLF. This includes real-time biomarker analysis, disease detection methods, and the synthesis of data for actionable insights.
- **Addressing Challenges:** Acknowledging that challenges exist in the implementation of microfluidic technologies, the paper aims to discuss these challenges and propose potential solutions. This proactive approach sets the tone for a realistic evaluation of the integration process.

5. Structure of the Paper:

- **Section Overview:** The introduction provides a brief overview of the subsequent sections of the paper. These sections will delve into specific applications of microfluidics in PLF, challenges faced, recent advancements, and future directions, offering a comprehensive understanding of the subject.

In essence, the introduction sets the narrative for the exploration of how microfluidic technologies, when integrated into Precision Livestock Farming, have the potential to reshape livestock health management. The ensuing sections will dissect the applications, implications, and challenges of this integration, offering insights into the transformative journey toward more precise, sustainable, and welfare-centric livestock farming practices.

Literature Review: Microfluidics in Precision Livestock Farming

1. **Evolution of Precision Livestock Farming:**
    - **Historical Context:** The literature review commences by tracing the historical evolution of Precision Livestock Farming, highlighting key milestones in the integration of technology for improved livestock management.
    - **Technological Landscape:** A comprehensive overview of technologies previously employed in PLF sets the stage for the introduction of microfluidics as a transformative tool in advancing precision and efficiency.
2. **Microfluidics in Agricultural Context:**
    - **Microfluidics Overview:** The review provides a detailed examination of microfluidics, emphasizing its origins, fundamental principles, and previous applications in agriculture.
    - **Relevance to Livestock Farming:** By drawing parallels between microfluidics and the unique challenges in livestock farming, the review establishes the relevance of microfluidic technologies in the context of PLF.
3. **Applications of Microfluidics in Livestock Health Monitoring:**
    - **Real-Time Biomarker Analysis:** The literature explores studies showcasing the use of microfluidics for real-time biomarker analysis in livestock. This includes the detection of key indicators related to health, nutrition, and reproductive status.
    - **Continuous Monitoring:** The review outlines the advantages of continuous monitoring enabled by microfluidics, offering insights into the dynamic physiological states of individual animals.

4. **Microfluidic Approaches to Early Disease Detection:**
    - **Disease Biomarker Identification:** Studies focusing on the identification of disease-specific biomarkers using microfluidics are reviewed. The ability to detect subtle changes in biomarker profiles contributes to early disease detection.
    - **Case Studies:** The literature review includes case studies illustrating successful applications of microfluidic devices in identifying diseases in livestock, emphasizing the potential for early intervention and disease management.
5. **Challenges in Microfluidic Integration in PLF:**
    - **Technical Challenges:** An examination of the challenges associated with integrating microfluidic technologies into PLF, including issues related to miniaturization, sensor calibration, and compatibility with on-farm conditions.
    - **Operational Considerations:** The literature addresses operational challenges, such as the need for user-friendly interfaces, robustness in farm environments, and cost considerations in the adoption of microfluidic devices.
6. **Recent Advancements and Innovations:**
    - **Miniaturization and Portability:** Recent advancements in microfluidic device design, with an emphasis on miniaturization and portability. This includes discussions on the development of handheld devices suitable for on-farm use.
    - **Integration with Data Analytics:** The literature explores how microfluidic data is integrated into broader data analytics platforms, enhancing the value of information collected from individual animals for overall farm management.
7. **Future Directions and Emerging Trends:**
    - **Multiplexed Analysis:** Anticipated trends in microfluidics include advancements in multiplexed analysis, enabling simultaneous monitoring of multiple biomarkers. The potential impact on precision and efficiency in PLF is discussed.
    - **Artificial Intelligence Integration:** The review looks at emerging trends where microfluidics intersects with artificial intelligence, offering possibilities for enhanced data interpretation, predictive modeling, and decision support in livestock farming.
8. **Synthesis and Integration of Microfluidics into PLF:**
    - **Holistic Approach:** Drawing insights from the reviewed literature, this section synthesizes the information to illustrate how microfluidics contributes to a holistic approach in PLF. This includes improved animal welfare, optimized resource use, and economic viability for farmers.

In summary, the literature review critically examines the historical evolution, current state, and future directions of microfluidic technologies in Precision Livestock Farming. By delving into specific applications, challenges, and recent advancements, the review establishes a foundation for understanding the transformative potential of microfluidics in reshaping the landscape of livestock health management.

Results and Discussion: Microfluidics in Precision Livestock Farming

1. **Real-Time Biomarker Analysis for Livestock Health Monitoring:**
    - **Results:** Microfluidic platforms enable real-time biomarker analysis in livestock, providing continuous insights into the health status of individual animals. This includes monitoring indicators related to nutrition, stress, and reproductive health.
    - **Discussion:** The ability to monitor biomarkers in real-time offers a dynamic perspective on the physiological state of livestock. This continuous feedback is

invaluable for early detection of health issues, allowing for timely interventions and improved overall animal welfare.

2. **Early Disease Detection and Intervention:**
   - **Results:** Microfluidic approaches contribute to early disease detection by identifying specific biomarkers associated with various illnesses. Case studies highlight successful applications, showcasing the potential for swift and targeted interventions.
   - **Discussion:** Early disease detection is crucial for preventing the spread of illnesses within livestock populations. Microfluidics' role in rapidly identifying disease markers allows farmers to take proactive measures, reducing the impact of diseases on animal health and farm productivity.
3. **Challenges in Microfluidic Integration:**
   - **Results:** Technical challenges, including miniaturization issues, sensor calibration, and on-farm compatibility, are identified as hurdles in microfluidic integration into PLF.
   - **Discussion:** Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts between researchers, engineers, and farmers. Solutions may involve refining sensor technologies, developing robust and user-friendly interfaces, and ensuring the adaptability of microfluidic devices to diverse on-farm conditions.
4. **Recent Advancements in Microfluidic Technologies:**
   - **Results:** Recent advancements focus on miniaturization, portability, and the development of handheld devices suitable for on-farm use. Integration with data analytics platforms is also highlighted.
   - **Discussion:** Miniaturized and portable microfluidic devices offer practical solutions for on-farm deployment, enhancing accessibility and usability. Integration with data analytics platforms amplifies the value of microfluidic data, providing a comprehensive understanding of livestock health at the farm level.
5. **Future Directions and Emerging Trends:**
   - **Results:** Future trends include advancements in multiplexed analysis and the integration of artificial intelligence for enhanced data interpretation and decision support in PLF.
   - **Discussion:** Multiplexed analysis allows simultaneous monitoring of multiple biomarkers, providing a more comprehensive health profile for each animal. The integration of artificial intelligence enhances the ability to derive meaningful insights from complex datasets, paving the way for more sophisticated and predictive management strategies.
6. **Synthesis and Holistic Integration of Microfluidics into PLF:**
   - **Results:** Synthesizing the results demonstrates that microfluidics contributes to a holistic approach in PLF, encompassing improved animal welfare, optimized resource use, and economic viability for farmers.
   - **Discussion:** The integration of microfluidics into PLF represents a paradigm shift in livestock management. By combining real-time health monitoring, early disease detection, and data-driven decision-making, microfluidics contributes to a more efficient, sustainable, and welfare-centric approach to livestock farming.

In conclusion, the results and discussions highlight the multifaceted contributions of microfluidics to Precision Livestock Farming. From real-time biomarker analysis and early disease detection to addressing integration challenges and embracing future trends, microfluidic technologies play a pivotal role in shaping the future of livestock health management. The synthesis of these findings underscores the transformative potential of microfluidics, offering a blueprint for the continued development and adoption of precision technologies in the livestock farming industry.

Conclusion: Microfluidics in Precision Livestock Farming - Pioneering a New Era in Livestock Health Management

The integration of microfluidic technologies into Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) marks a watershed moment in the evolution of livestock health management. This study has illuminated the transformative impact of microfluidics, providing a dynamic lens into real-time biomarker analysis, early disease detection, and data-driven decision-making within the intricate landscape of modern livestock farming. As we synthesize the key findings, address challenges, and explore future trajectories, it becomes evident that microfluidics is pioneering a new era in precision and efficiency for the livestock industry.

1. Real-Time Biomarker Analysis for Enhanced Animal Welfare:

- **Achievements:** Microfluidic platforms have empowered farmers with the capability to monitor real-time biomarkers, providing continuous insights into the health and well-being of individual animals.
- **Significance:** This continuous monitoring not only ensures early identification of potential health issues but also contributes to enhanced animal welfare by enabling timely interventions. The ability to address health concerns promptly aligns with the ethical imperative of promoting the health and happiness of livestock.

2. Early Disease Detection: A Pillar of Sustainable Livestock Farming:

- **Strategic Interventions:** Microfluidic approaches have demonstrated their efficacy in early disease detection, allowing for swift and targeted interventions.
- **Sustainability Impact:** Early disease detection is foundational to sustainable livestock farming, mitigating the spread of illnesses, reducing the need for extensive medical treatments, and ultimately fostering a healthier and more resilient livestock population.

3. Overcoming Challenges for Practical Implementation:

- **Acknowledgment of Challenges:** The study recognizes technical challenges in microfluidic integration, including miniaturization issues, sensor calibration complexities, and ensuring compatibility with on-farm conditions.
- **Path Forward:** Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts, emphasizing the importance of ongoing research, development, and knowledge exchange between scientists, engineers, and farmers. Solutions to these challenges are pivotal for the widespread adoption of microfluidics in diverse farming environments.

4. Recent Advancements and Practical Deployment:

- **Innovation Landscape:** Recent advancements in microfluidic technologies, particularly in miniaturization, portability, and handheld device development, signify a shift toward practical and on-farm deployment.
- **Usability and Accessibility:** The trend towards more user-friendly, portable devices aligns with the practical needs of farmers. Microfluidic technologies are evolving to be not only sophisticated in their capabilities but also accessible and adaptable to the realities of on-farm use.

5. Future Trajectories: Multiplexed Analysis and Artificial Intelligence Integration:

- **Multiplexed Analysis:** The future of microfluidics in PLF is poised to embrace multiplexed analysis, allowing simultaneous monitoring of multiple biomarkers. This promises a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of individual animal health.
- **Artificial Intelligence Integration:** The integration of artificial intelligence signifies a leap toward more sophisticated data interpretation and decision support systems. AI-driven insights have the potential to revolutionize how farmers manage their livestock, moving from reactive to proactive strategies.

6. Holistic Integration into Precision Livestock Farming:

- **Synthesizing Insights:** The synthesis of microfluidic technologies into PLF contributes to a holistic approach, fostering improved animal welfare, optimized resource use, and economic viability for farmers.
- **Strategic Decision-Making:** The integrated approach allows for strategic decision-making based on real-time, data-driven insights. This, in turn, enhances the efficiency of livestock farming operations, contributing to sustainable practices and economic resilience.

In conclusion, the integration of microfluidics into Precision Livestock Farming represents a groundbreaking shift in how we care for and manage livestock. The synergy of real-time monitoring, early disease detection, and advanced data analytics positions microfluidics as a cornerstone technology in the pursuit of a more efficient, sustainable, and welfare-centric livestock industry. As we stand at the intersection of technological innovation and agricultural practices, the journey forward involves continued collaboration, innovation, and a shared commitment to transforming the future of livestock farming. Microfluidics is not merely a tool; it is a catalyst for a new era in precision and compassion for the animals that sustain us.

References:

1. Preylo BD, Arikawa H. Comparison of vegetarians and non-vegetarians on pet attitude and empathy. Anthrozoos. (2008) 21:387–95. doi: 10.2752/175303708X371654
2. Shepherd M, Turner JA, Small B, Wheeler D. Priorities for science to overcome hurdles thwarting the full promise of the ‘digital agriculture’ revolution. J Sci Food Agric. (2020) 100:5083–92. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.9346
3. S. Pandey, A. Bortei-Doku, and M. White, “Simulation of biological ion channels with technology computer-aided design”, Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 85, 1-7 (2007).
4. Weltin, A., Slotwinski, K., Kieninger, J., Moser, I., Jobst, G., Wego, M., ... & Urban, G. A. (2014). Cell culture monitoring for drug screening and cancer research: a transparent, microfluidic, multi-sensor microsystem. *Lab on a Chip*, *14*(1), 138-146.
5. T. Kong, R. Brien, Z. Njus, U. Kalwa, and S. Pandey, “Motorized actuation system to perform droplet operations on printed plastic sheets”, Lab Chip, 16, 1861-1872 (2016).
6. Riahi, R., Tamayol, A., Shaegh, S. A. M., Ghaemmaghami, A. M., Dokmeci, M. R., & Khademhosseini, A. (2015). Microfluidics for advanced drug delivery systems. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, *7*, 101-112.
7. T. Kong, S. Flanigan, M. Weinstein, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, and S. Pandey, “A fast, reconfigurable flow switch for paper microfluidics based on selective wettingof folded paper actuator strips”, Lab on a Chip, 17 (21), 3621-3633 (2017). Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of

farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482
8. Werkheiser I. Precision Livestock Farming and Farmers' Duties to Livestock. J Agric Environ Ethics. (2018) 31:181–95. doi: 10.1007/s10806-018-9720-0
9. Dawkins MS. Does smart farming improve or damage animal welfare? Technology and what animals want. Front Anim Sci. (2021) 2. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2021.736536
10. Parashar, S. Pandey, "Plant-in-chip: Microfluidic system for studying root growth and pathogenic interactions in Arabidopsis", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 263703 (2011).
11. McEwen, S. A., and Collignon, P. J. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance: a one health perspective. Microbiol. Spectr. 6:2017. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.arba-0009-2017
12. Herlin A, Brunberg E, Hultgren J, Högberg N, Rydberg A, Skarin A. Animal welfare implications of digital tools for monitoring and management of cattle and sheep on pasture. Animals. (2021) 11:829. doi: 10.3390/ani11030829
13. Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482
14. M. Legner, G L Tylka, S. Pandey, "Robotic agricultural instrument for automated extraction of nematode cysts and eggs from soil to improve integrated pest management", Scientific reports, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pages 1-10, 2021.
15. Dennyson Savariraj, A., Salih, A., Alam, F., Elsherif, M., AlQattan, B., Khan, A. A., ... & Butt, H. (2021). Ophthalmic sensors and drug delivery. *Acs Sensors*, *6*(6), 2046-2076.
16. Z. Njus, T. Kong, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, M. Weinstein, S. Flanigan, J. Saldanha, and S. Pandey, "Flexible and disposable paper-and plastic-based gel micropads for nematode handling, imaging, and chemical testing", APL Bioengineering, 1 (1), 016102 (2017).
17. Mainau, E., Dalmau, A., Ruiz-de-la-Torre, J. L., and Manteca, X. (2009). Validation of an automatic system to detect position changes in puerperal sows. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 121, 96–102. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2009.09.005
18. Manteuffel, C., Hartung, E., Schmidt, M., Hoffmann, G., and Schön, P. C. (2015). Towards qualitative and quantitative prediction and detection of parturition onset in sows using light barriers. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 116, 201–210. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2015.06.017
19. X. Ding, Z. Njus, T. Kong, W. Su, C. M. Ho, and S. Pandey, "Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control technique", Science Advances, 3 (10), eaao1254 (2017).
20. Maselyne, J., Adriaens, I., Huybrechts, T., De Ketelaere, B., Millet, S., Vangeyte, J., et al. (2016a). Measuring the drinking behaviour of individual pigs housed in group using radio frequency identification (RFID). Animal. 10, 1557–1566. doi: 10.1017/S1751731115000774
21. U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, E. Wlezien, G. Tylka, and S. Pandey, "New methods of cleaning debris and high-throughput counting of cyst nematode eggs extracted from field soil", PLoS ONE, 14(10): e0223386, 2019.
22. Zaninelli, M., Redaelli, V., Luzi, F., Bronzo, V., Mitchell, M., Dell'Orto, V., et al. (2018). First evaluation of infrared thermography as a tool for the monitoring of udder health status in farms of dairy cows. Sensors 18:862. doi: 10.3390/s18030862

23. J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Gibson, A. Robertson, R. Martin, S. Pandey, "A microfluidic platform for high-sensitivity, real-time drug screening on C. elegans and parasitic nematodes", Lab on Chip, 11, 2385-2396 (2011).
24. Dong, R., Liu, Y., Mou, L., Deng, J., & Jiang, X. (2019). Microfluidics- based biomaterials and biodevices. *Advanced Materials*, *31*(45), 1805033.
25. J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Lycke, S. Pandey, "Unidirectional, electrotactic-response valve for Caenorhabditis elegans in microfluidic devices", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 143701 (2011).
26. Yazdanbakhsh, O., Zhou, Y., and Dick, S. (2017). An intelligent system for livestock disease surveillance. Inform. Sci. 378, 26–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2016.10.026
27. T. Kong, N. Backes, U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, G. J. Phillips, and S. Pandey, "Adhesive Tape Microfluidics with an Autofocusing Module That Incorporates CRISPR Interference: Applications to Long-Term Bacterial Antibiotic Studies", ACS Sensors, 4, 10, 2638-2645, 2019.
28. Valente, K. P., Khetani, S., Kolahchi, A. R., Sanati-Nezhad, A., Suleman, A., & Akbari, M. (2017). Microfluidic technologies for anticancer drug studies. *Drug discovery today*, *22*(11), 1654-1670.
29. Dong, L., & Jiang, H. (2007). Autonomous microfluidics with stimuli-responsive hydrogels. *Soft matter*, *3*(10), 1223-1230.
30. Adrion, F., Kapun, A., Eckert, F., Holland, E. M., Staiger, M., Götz, S., et al. (2018). Monitoring trough visits of growing-finishing pigs with UHF-RFID. Comput. Electron. Agricult. 144, 144–153. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2017.11.036
31. Alhamada, M., Debus, N., Lurette, A., and Bocquier, F. (2016). Validation of automated electronic oestrus detection in sheep as an alternative to visual observation. Small Rum. Res. 134, 97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.12.032
32. Benjamin, M., and Yik, S. (2019). Precision livestock farming in swinewelfare: a review for swine practitioners. Animals 9:133. doi: 10.3390/ani9040133
33. Berckmans, D. (2014). Precision livestock farming technologies for welfare management in intensive livestock systems. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz. 33, 189–196. doi: 10.20506/rst.33.1.2273
34. Bock, B. B., Van Huik, M. M., Prutzer, M., Kling, F., and Dockes, E. A. (2007). Farmers' relationship with different animals: the importance of getting close to the animals. Case studies of French, Swedish and Dutch cattle, pig and poultry farmers. Int. J. Sociol. Food Agricult. 15. doi: 10.48416/ijsaf.v15i3.290
35. Bos, J. M., Bovenkerk, B., Feindt, P. H., and van Dam, Y. K. (2018). The quantified animal: precision livestock farming and the ethical implications of objectification. Food Ethics. Food Ethics. 2, 77–92. doi: 10.1007/s41055-018-00029-x
36. B. Chen, A. Parashar, S. Pandey, "Folded floating-gate CMOS biosensor for the detection of charged biochemical molecules", IEEE Sensors Journal, 2011.
37. Buller, H., Blokhuis, H., Lokhorst, K., Silberberg, M., and Veissier, I. (2020). Animal welfare management in a digital world. Animals 10, 1–12. doi: 10.3390/ani10101779
38. da Fonseca, F. N., Abe, J. M., de Alencar Nääs, I., da Silva Cordeiro, A. F., do Amaral, F. V., and Ungaro, H. C. (2020). Automatic prediction of stress in piglets (Sus Scrofa) using infrared skin temperature. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 168:105148. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2019.105148

39. Fuchs, B., Sørheim, K. M., Chincarini, M., Brunberg, E., Stubsjøen, S. M., Bratbergsengen, K., et al. (2019). Heart rate sensor validation and seasonal and diurnal variation of body temperature and heart rate in domestic sheep. Vet. Anim. Sci. 8:100075. doi: 10.1016/j.vas.2019.100075
40. Hemsworth, P. H., Barnett, J. L., and Coleman, G. J. (2009). The integration of human-animal relations into animal welfare monitoring schemes. Anim. Welfare. 18, 335–345.
41. Horseman, S. V., Roe, E. J., Huxley, J. N., Bell, N. J., Mason, C. S., and Whay, H. R. (2014). The use of in-depth interviews to understand the process of treating lame dairy cows from the farmers' perspective. Anim. Welfare 23, 157–165. doi: 10.7120/09627286.23.2.157
42. Kashiha, M., Bahr, C., Ott, S., Moons, C. P. H., Niewold, T. A., Ödberg, F. O., et al. (2014). Automatic weight estimation of individual pigs using image analysis. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 107, 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.003
43. Leach, K. A., Whay, H. R., Maggs, C. M., Barker, Z. E., Paul, E. S., Bell, A. K., et al. (2010). Working towards a reduction in cattle lameness: 1. Understanding barriers to lameness control on dairy farms. Res. Vet. Sci. 89, 311–317. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.02.014
44. Xin, H. (1999). Environment and behavior 1: recent advances in assessment and management of heat stress in domestic animals assessing swine thermal comfort by image. J. Anim. Sci. 77, 1–9. doi: 10.2527/1999.77suppl_21x
45. Lee, J., Jin, L., Park, D., and Chung, Y. (2016). Automatic recognition of aggressive behavior in pigs using a kinect depth sensor. Sensors 16:631. doi: 10.3390/s16050631
46. Liu, L. S., Ni, J. Q., Zhao, R. Q., Shen, M. X., He, C. L., and Lu, M. Z. (2018). Design and test of a low-power acceleration sensor with Bluetooth Low Energy on ear tags for sow behaviour monitoring. Biosystems Engineering. Academic Press. 176, 162–171. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.10.011
47. Lima, E., Hopkins, T., Gurney, E., Shortall, O., Lovatt, F., Davies, P., et al. (2018). Drivers for precision livestock technology adoption: a study of factors associated with adoption of electronic identification technology by commercial sheep farmers in England and Wales. PLoS ONE. 13:e0190489. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190489
48. Xiao, L., Ding, K., Gao, Y., and Rao, X. (2019). Behavior-induced health condition monitoring of caged chickens using binocular vision. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 156, 254–262. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2018.11.022